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Basic Questions about Consumer Attitudes

- How do consumers define “local foods”?
- What reasons motivate consumers to buy local foods?
- To what sources of information do consumers turn to learn about ecolabel products?
- What is the share of locally produced fruits and vegetables in retail store sales?
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About Our Study
Funding and Participants

• Our project, “Impacts of Eco-Labeling on Produce Buying,” is funded by USDA under the National Research Initiative.

• Collaborating universities are:
  - Oregon State University
  - University of Minnesota
  - University of Oregon
  - University of Rhode Island
  - Washington State University
About Our Study
Project Objectives

1. Measure the impact eco-labeling on consumers’ purchases of produce.

2. Measure how consumers respond to origin of production labeling for produce.

3. Measure consumers’ response to various eco-labeling messages.

4. Measure how changes in price, display size, display placement, point of purchase signage size, sensory wording, and quality impact demand.
About Our Study

Data Collection

• Weekly data collection in selected stores in Minnesota and Oregon:
  - Price and sales quantity
  - Promotions
  - Display space, location, and signage
  - Product origin ... eco-label?
  - Product quality/appearance

• Store level data will be used for a statistical demand analysis.
About Our Study
Data Collection

• Focus Groups:
  - Major criteria for buying food
  - Preferences on how food is produced
  - How ideas can best be communicated to consumers

• Findings from the focus groups were used to design a shopper intercept study on consumer attitudes about and willingness to pay for eco-label products.
About Our Study

Data Collection

- **Shopper Surveys:**
  - Purchasing patterns
  - “Willingness to pay” experiments for ecolabel product attributes
  - Attitudes and beliefs
  - Demographic information

- Findings from the surveys will help explain how attitudes towards ecolabels and willingness to pay for them differ across consumers.
Shopper Surveys
Locations and Target Participants

• We conducted shopper surveys in Minnesota, Oregon, and Rhode Island in 2006.

• Minnesota Surveys:
  - Six locations – three farmers markets, two supermarkets, one natural foods store – all in the twin Cities metro area
  - Five locations in July ... one in September
  - 500 respondents
Respondents overwhelmingly indicated a preference for buying locally produced fresh foods.

• Rank six possible reasons for buying local.
• Which of six regions most closely signifies locally grown for fresh fruits and vegetables?
• What information sources would you use to learn about an ecolabel you see in the market?
Reasons for Buying Local

- Rank the following reasons for buying local:
  - To get better quality and freshness
  - To lower environmental impacts/lower transportation
  - To support small businesses
  - To help the local economy
  - Food safety traceability
  - Because I get a better price
Reasons for Buying Local

- Shoppers ranked the following reasons “most important” or “very important.”
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- Quality and Freshness
- Environmental Impacts
- Support Small Business
- Help Local Economy
- Food Safety Traceability
- Better Price
Reasons for Buying Local

- Shoppers grouped by age ranked the following “most important” or “very important.”
Reasons for Buying Local

- Shoppers grouped by income ranked the following “most important” or “very important.”
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Reasons for Buying Local

- Shoppers grouped by store type ranked the following “most important” or “very important.”
Defining “Local”

Which of the following best signifies “locally grown” for fresh produce:

- Twin Cities Metro & surrounding area
- 50 mile circle around the Metro area
- East Central Minnesota and West Central Wisconsin
- Southern Minnesota
- All of Minnesota
- All of Minnesota and Wisconsin
Defining “Local”

- Shoppers' definitions of “locally grown” tended to be fairly narrow or quite broad.
Defining “Local”

- Shoppers grouped by age chose the following definitions of “locally grown”
Defining “Local”

- Shoppers grouped by income chose the following definitions of “locally grown”
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Defining “Local”

- Shoppers grouped by store type chose the following definitions of “locally grown”
Sources of Information

- Which of the following sources of information do you use to learn about ecolabels:
  - Local paper – food section
  - Website
  - Signs next to the product (POP)
  - Newspaper information
  - Local food resources
  - Food/Environment related magazines
Sources of Information

- Shoppers identified the following sources of information for learning about ecolabels.
Sources of Information

- Shoppers grouped by age identified the following sources of information for learning about ecolabels.
Sources of Information

- Shoppers grouped by income identified the following sources of information for learning about ecolabels.
Sources of Information

- Shoppers grouped by store type identified the following sources of information for learning about ecolabels.
“Local” Share of Fruit and Vegetable Sales

• Sales data from actual stores point to challenges and opportunities for local foods.

• Weekly sales data for “hand” fruits and vegetables “that can be cooked” from three stores:
  - Store A – a large conventional supermarket located outside the Twin Cities Metro
  - Store B – a natural foods store in the Twin Cities Metro
  - Store C – an upscale supermarket in the Twin Cities Metro
“Local” Share of Fruit and Vegetable Sales – Store A

2005-06 Monthly Produce Sales Indices: Store A
(Monthly Average Total Sales = 100)
“Local” Share of Fruit and Vegetable Sales - Store B

2005-06 Monthly Produce Sales Indices: Store B
(Monthly Average Total Sales = 100)
“Local” Share of Fruit and Vegetable Sales – Store C

2005-06 Monthly Produce Sales Indices: Store C
(Monthly Average Total Sales = 100)
“Local” Share of Fruit and Vegetable Sales

- For the entire twelve month period, 9.8% of fresh fruit and vegetable sales in Store A came from Minnesota products.

- For Store B, the natural food store, 11.4% of fresh fruit and vegetable sales came from Minnesota products. This store appears to be using locally produced foods to boost produce sales in August, a time of peak availability for highly perishable items.

- For Store C, only 4.2% of annual fresh fruit and vegetable sales came from Minnesota products.
Concluding Remarks

• Better quality and freshness is the dominant reason for buying local. To succeed you need to deliver that.

• Environmental impacts and helping the local economy are also important – but not dominant – reasons for buying local.

• The definition of “local” is still up for grabs. Consumers have an open mind on this.

• Very little of the produce sold in supermarkets is locally grown. Is this an opportunity?
Concluding Remarks

• Supermarkets are the market channel that offers best access to large numbers of consumers.

• It is possible to place local food products in supermarkets. Supply may be a bigger challenge than demand.

• We can improve access to local foods in supermarkets ... but there are significant challenges.

• In all our efforts to promote local foods, we need to keep the consumer in mind.
Questions? ... Comments?